

AB Kazakhstan - Ziraat International Bank JSC

Update

Key Rating Drivers

AB Kazakhstan – Ziraat International Bank JSC's (KZI) 'B+' Long-Term (LT) Issuer Default Ratings (IDRs) reflect potential support from the bank's parent, Turkiye Cumhuriyeti Ziraat Bankasi Anonim Sirketi (Ziraat; B+/Positive/b+), as reflected in the bank's Shareholder Support Rating of 'b+'. The Outlooks on KZI's LT IDRs are Stable.

High Support Propensity: Fitch Ratings believes Ziraat has a high propensity to support KZI, given its virtually full ownership, common branding, the high level of integration between the two banks, the low cost of potential support due to the subsidiary's small size and the record of considerable capital support. However, Ziraat's ability to provide support to KZI is constrained by its 'B+' LT Foreign-Currency (FC) IDR.

Ratings Equalised with Parent's: The equalisation of KZI's and Ziraat's ratings reflects the high integration between the subsidiary and the parent, as KZI operates similarly to a branch. In accordance with our *Bank Rating Criteria*, we tend to equalise the ratings of a deeply integrated subsidiary if its parent is rated at the lower end of the rating scale.

No Viability Rating Assigned: KZI is a small bank, with total assets of USD0.5 billion at end-1H24. Its client base – on both sides of its balance sheet – mostly comprises Ziraat's group clients and other Turkish businesses operating in Kazakhstan. Fitch has not assigned KZI a Viability Rating (VR), because the bank is heavily reliant on its parent for new business origination and risk management, and as Ziraat's representatives are involved in all major decision-making at the subsidiary level.

Improved Loan Quality: The bank's impaired loans (Stage 3 loans under IFRS9) dropped to 2.1% of gross loans at end-2023 (end-2022: 14.2%), driven by 35% loan growth and improved creditworthiness of SMEs. The total reserve coverage of impaired loans also exceeded 200% at end-2023 (end-2022: 64%), while Stage 2 loans declined to 8.6% of gross loans (end-2022: 10.4%). We expect the bank's loan quality to remain adequate in 2H24-2025, although it is still vulnerable, due to high loan concentrations.

Strong Profitability: KZI reported KZT6.8 billion net income for 6M24, translating into a high return on average equity of 17.7% (2023: 22.6%). The bank's strong bottom-line results were supported by a wide net interest margin, good operating efficiency (6M24 cost/income ratio: 23%) and limited loan impairment charges. We expect the bank's performance to remain robust in 2H24-2025.

High Loss-Absorption Buffer: KZI's Fitch Core Capital ratio increased marginally to 37% at end-1H24 (end-2023: 36%), supported by strong profitability and absent dividend payments. The bank's regulatory capitalisation was also strong, with a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 37% at end-1H24. In Fitch's view, KZI's capital buffer allows for considerable loan growth in 2H24-2025.

Concentrated Customer Funding; Healthy Liquidity: KZI is deposit-funded (end-1H24: 96% of total liabilities), with an outsized contribution from corporate clients (82% of total). The deposit outflows (12% in 6M24) caused a moderate increase in the loans/deposits ratio to a still-acceptable 89% at end-1H24 (end-2023: 73%). The bank's deposit base is highly concentrated by names, due to scale limitations. We estimate KZI's liquidity buffer (mostly, cash and cash equivalents) covered a significant 60% of customer deposits at end-1H24.

Banks

Universal Commercial Banks
Kazakhstan

Ratings

Foreign Currency

| | |
|----------------|----|
| Long-Term IDR | B+ |
| Short-Term IDR | B |

Local Currency

| | |
|---------------|----|
| Long-Term IDR | B+ |
|---------------|----|

| | |
|----------------------------|----|
| Shareholder Support Rating | b+ |
|----------------------------|----|

National Rating

| | |
|---------------------------|----------|
| National Long-Term Rating | BBB(kaz) |
|---------------------------|----------|

Sovereign Risk (Kazakhstan)

| | |
|--------------------------------|------|
| Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR | BBB |
| Long-Term Local-Currency IDR | BBB |
| Country Ceiling | BBB+ |

Outlooks

| | |
|--|--------|
| Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR | Stable |
| Long-Term Local-Currency IDR | Stable |
| National Long-Term Rating | Stable |
| Sovereign Long-Term Foreign-Currency IDR | Stable |
| Sovereign Long-Term Local-Currency IDR | Stable |

Applicable Criteria

[Bank Rating Criteria \(March 2024\)](#)

[National Scale Rating Criteria \(December 2020\)](#)

Related Research

[Fitch Upgrades 24 Turkish Banks on Sovereign Upgrade \(September 2024\)](#)

[Fitch Upgrades Turkiye to 'BB-'; Outlook Stable \(September 2024\)](#)

[EM Banks Tracker – End-2023 \(June 2024\)](#)

[CIS+ Banks: Positive Side of The Credit Cycle; Structural Risks Still There \(June 2024\)](#)

[CIS+ Bank Eurobond Issuance to Increase Significantly in 2024–2025 \(April 2024\)](#)

Analysts

Maksim Maliutin
+971 4 424 1228
maksim.maliutin@fitchratings.com

Artem Beketov, CFA
+971 4 424 1233
artem.beketov@fitchratings.com

Rating Sensitivities

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action/Downgrade

A negative rating action on Ziraat's LT FC IDR would result in a corresponding rating action on KZI's ratings. In addition, KZI's ratings could be downgraded if Ziraat's propensity to support its subsidiary weakens considerably.

KZI's National Rating is sensitive to a downgrade of its LT Local-Currency (LC) IDR and to a negative reassessment by Fitch of the bank's creditworthiness relative to local peers'.

Factors that Could, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action/Upgrade

The bank's ratings could be upgraded on a multi-notch upgrade of the parent bank's LT FC IDR.

KZI's National Rating is sensitive to an upgrade of its LT LC IDR and to a positive reassessment by Fitch of the bank's creditworthiness relative to local peers'.

Significant Changes from Last Review

Turkiye's Sovereign Rating Upgrade

On 6 September 2024, Fitch upgraded Turkiye's LT FC IDR to 'BB-' from 'B+' with a Stable Outlook (see [Fitch Upgrades Turkiye to 'BB-'; Outlook Stable](#)). The rating action reflects the improved external buffers, with the net foreign asset position of the central bank having risen to a positive USD6 billion at end-August 2024 (end-March 2024: negative USD75 billion), and reduced contingent foreign-exchange liabilities, underpinned by stronger depreciation expectations and positive lira deposit rates. Also, the central bank's tightened monetary policy has provided confidence that its maintenance, combined with projected fiscal consolidation and prudent minimum wage adjustments, will support a significant decline in inflation, and help maintain improved external liquidity buffers, low current account deficits and reduced dollarisation. The declining government debt also supports the upgrade of Turkiye's sovereign rating.

KZI's Ratings Upgrade

On 17 September 2024, Fitch upgraded Ziraat's LT FC IDR to 'B+' from 'B' with a Positive Outlook (see [Fitch Upgrades 24 Turkish Banks on Sovereign Upgrade](#)). As we equalise the ratings of Ziraat and its Kazakhstan-based subsidiary, which operates similarly to a branch, we have also upgraded KZI's LT IDRs and its National Rating to 'B+/BBB(kaz)' from 'B/BB+(kaz)' and changed the Outlooks to Stable from Positive. The Outlooks' stabilisation reflects that KZI's ratings could be upgraded further if Ziraat's LT FC IDR is upgraded by at least two notches.

Financials

Financial Statements

| | 30 Jun 24 | | 31 Dec 23 | 31 Dec 22 | 31 Dec 21 |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|
| | 1st half (USDm) Unaudited | 1st half (KZTm) Unaudited | 12 months (KZTm) Audited – unqualified | 12 months (KZTm) Audited – unqualified | 12 months (KZTm) Audited – unqualified |
| Summary income statement | | | | | |
| Net interest and dividend income | 20 | 9,609 | 16,614 | 9,160 | 6,177 |
| Net fees and commissions | 1 | 558 | 1,251 | 973 | 823 |
| Other operating income | 2 | 1,144 | 2,804 | 3,325 | 1,141 |
| Total operating income | 24 | 11,312 | 20,669 | 13,457 | 8,140 |
| Operating costs | 5 | 2,589 | 4,939 | 2,763 | 2,261 |
| Pre-impairment operating profit | 19 | 8,723 | 15,731 | 10,694 | 5,879 |
| Loan and other impairment charges | 1 | 289 | -1,282 | 21,362 | 2,311 |
| Operating profit | 18 | 8,434 | 17,013 | -10,668 | 3,568 |
| Tax | 3 | 1,615 | 1,953 | -16 | 828 |
| Net income | 14 | 6,819 | 15,060 | -10,653 | 2,739 |
| Other comprehensive income | – | – | -149 | 256 | – |
| Fitch comprehensive income | 14 | 6,819 | 14,911 | -10,397 | 2,739 |
| Summary balance sheet | | | | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Gross loans | 254 | 119,845 | 112,078 | 83,032 | 83,157 |
| – Of which impaired | – | – | 2,372 | 11,746 | 21,722 |
| Loan loss allowances | – | – | 5,687 | 7,531 | 7,358 |
| Net loans | 254 | 119,845 | 106,392 | 75,502 | 75,799 |
| Interbank | – | – | 100,519 | 59,671 | 8,216 |
| Other securities and earning assets | 3 | 1,583 | 1,587 | 554 | 15,581 |
| Total earning assets | 258 | 121,428 | 208,497 | 135,727 | 99,596 |
| Cash and due from banks | 174 | 81,883 | 5,850 | 15,146 | 15,580 |
| Other assets | 36 | 17,198 | 17,761 | 17,491 | 17,460 |
| Total assets | 468 | 220,509 | 232,108 | 168,364 | 132,635 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Customer deposits | 286 | 134,828 | 153,321 | 103,267 | 90,733 |
| Other long-term funding | 8 | 3,883 | 3,884 | 5,108 | 5,102 |
| Total funding and derivatives | 294 | 138,711 | 157,207 | 108,375 | 95,835 |
| Other liabilities | 2 | 1,175 | 1,098 | 1,097 | 1,012 |
| Total equity | 171 | 80,623 | 73,804 | 58,892 | 35,789 |
| Total liabilities and equity | 468 | 220,509 | 232,108 | 168,364 | 132,635 |
| Exchange rate | | USD1 = KZT471.46 | USD1 = KZT454.56 | USD1 = KZT462.65 | USD1 = KZT431.67 |

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, KZI

Key Ratios

| | 30 Jun 24 | 31 Dec 23 | 31 Dec 22 | 31 Dec 21 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Ratios (%; annualised as appropriate) | | | | |
| Profitability | | | | |
| Operating profit/risk-weighted assets | 7.8 | 8.3 | -6.7 | 2.8 |
| Net interest income/average earning assets | 13.2 | 14.4 | 9.6 | 7.8 |
| Non-interest expense/gross revenue | 22.9 | 23.9 | 20.5 | 27.8 |
| Net income/average equity | 17.7 | 22.6 | -23.8 | 8.0 |
| Asset quality | | | | |
| Impaired loans ratio | – | 2.1 | 14.2 | 26.1 |
| Growth in gross loans | 6.9 | 35.0 | -0.2 | 46.6 |
| Loan loss allowances/impaired loans | – | 239.7 | 64.1 | 33.9 |
| Loan impairment charges/average gross loans | 0.5 | -2.1 | 26.5 | 3.1 |
| Capitalisation | | | | |
| Fitch Core Capital ratio | 36.7 | 35.7 | 35.6 | 28.2 |
| Tangible common equity/tangible assets | 36.4 | 31.6 | 34.1 | 26.8 |
| Net impaired loans/Fitch Core Capital | – | -4.5 | 7.5 | 40.5 |
| Funding and liquidity | | | | |
| Gross loans/customer deposits | 88.9 | 73.1 | 80.4 | 91.7 |
| Customer deposits/total non-equity funding | 97.2 | 97.5 | 95.3 | 94.7 |

Source: Fitch Ratings, Fitch Solutions, KZI

Support Assessment

| Shareholder Support | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
| Parent IDR | B+ |
| Total Adjustments (notches) | 0 |
| Shareholder Support Rating | b+ |
| Shareholder ability to support | |
| Shareholder Rating | B+/ Positive |
| Shareholder regulation | 1 Notch |
| Relative size | Equalised |
| Country risks | Equalised |
| Shareholder propensity to support | |
| Role in group | 1 Notch |
| Reputational risk | 1 Notch |
| Integration | Equalised |
| Support record | 1 Notch |
| Subsidiary performance and prospects | 1 Notch |
| Legal commitments | 2+ Notches |

The colours indicate the weighting of each KRD in the assessment.

■ Higher influence
 ■ Moderate influence
 ■ Lower influence

We equalise the ratings of KZI and Ziraat, given the significant integration between the two banks, and the rating scale compression at low rating levels.

In Fitch’s view, Ziraat has a high propensity to support KZI. This is due to its virtually full ownership, the high level of integration between the parent and the subsidiary and high reputational risk for the parent in the event of the subsidiary’s default given Ziraat’s broader international presence and common branding. In addition, the cost of potential support is low considering the subsidiary’s small size relative to the parent’s (end-1H24: 0.4% of the group’s consolidated assets), and there is a record of considerable capital support in the past (2022: 21% of risk-weighted assets).

Environmental, Social and Governance Considerations

FitchRatings AB Kazakhstan - Ziraat International Bank JSC

Banks
Ratings Navigator

Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation

AB Kazakhstan - Ziraat International Bank JSC has 5 ESG potential rating drivers

- ➔ AB Kazakhstan - Ziraat International Bank JSC has exposure to compliance risks including fair lending practices, mis-selling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security) but this has very low impact on the rating.
- ➔ Governance is minimally relevant to the rating and is not currently a driver.

| ESG Relevance to Credit Rating | Score | Issues | Relevance |
|--------------------------------|-------|--------|---------------------|
| 5 | 0 | issues | key driver |
| 4 | 0 | issues | driver |
| 3 | 5 | issues | potential driver |
| 2 | 4 | issues | not a rating driver |
| 1 | 5 | issues | |

Environmental (E) Relevance Scores

| General Issues | E Score | Sector-Specific Issues | Reference | E Relevance |
|--|---------|--|---|-------------|
| GHG Emissions & Air Quality | 1 n.a. | n.a. | | 5 |
| Energy Management | 1 n.a. | n.a. | | 4 |
| Water & Wastewater Management | 1 n.a. | n.a. | | 3 |
| Waste & Hazardous Materials Management; Ecological Impacts | 1 n.a. | n.a. | | 2 |
| Exposure to Environmental Impacts | 2 | Impact of extreme weather events on assets and/or operations and corresponding risk appetite & management; catastrophe risk; credit concentrations | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile; Asset Quality | 1 |

How to Read This Page
ESG relevance scores range from 1 to 5 based on a 15-level color gradation. Red (5) is most relevant to the credit rating and green (1) is least relevant.

The Environmental (E), Social (S) and Governance (G) tables break out the ESG general issues and the sector-specific issues that are most relevant to each industry group. Relevance scores are assigned to each sector-specific issue, signaling the credit-relevance of the sector-specific issues to the issuer's overall credit rating. The Criteria Reference column highlights the factor(s) within which the corresponding ESG issues are captured in Fitch's credit analysis. The vertical color bars are visualizations of the frequency of occurrence of the highest constituent relevance scores. They do not represent an aggregate of the relevance scores or aggregate ESG credit relevance.

The Credit-Relevant ESG Derivation table's far right column is a visualization of the frequency of occurrence of the highest ESG relevance scores across the combined E, S and G categories. The three columns to the left of ESG Relevance to Credit Rating summarize rating relevance and impact to credit from ESG issues. The box on the far left identifies any ESG Relevance Sub-factor issues that are drivers or potential drivers of the issuer's credit rating (corresponding with scores of 3, 4 or 5) and provides a brief explanation for the relevance score. All scores of '4' and '5' are assumed to result in a negative impact unless indicated with a '+' sign for positive impact. Scores of 3, 4 or 5) and provides a brief explanation for the score.

Social (S) Relevance Scores

| General Issues | S Score | Sector-Specific Issues | Reference | S Relevance |
|--|---------|--|---|-------------|
| Human Rights, Community Relations, Access & Affordability | 2 | Services for underbanked and underserved communities; SME and community development programs; financial literacy programs | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile | 5 |
| Customer Welfare - Fair Messaging, Privacy & Data Security | 3 | Compliance risks including fair lending practices, mis-selling, repossession/foreclosure practices, consumer data protection (data security) | Operating Environment; Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Risk Profile | 4 |
| Labor Relations & Practices | 2 | Impact of labor negotiations, including board/employee compensation and composition | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 3 |
| Employee Wellbeing | 1 n.a. | n.a. | | 2 |
| Exposure to Social Impacts | 2 | Shift in social or consumer preferences as a result of an institution's social positions, or social and/or political disapproval of core banking practices | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Financial Profile | 1 |

Classification of ESG issues has been developed from Fitch's sector ratings criteria. The General Issues and Sector-Specific Issues draw on the classification standards published by the United Nations Principles for Responsible Investing (PRI), the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board (SASB), and the World Bank.

Governance (G) Relevance Scores

| General Issues | G Score | Sector-Specific Issues | Reference | G Relevance | CREDIT-RELEVANT ESG SCALE |
|------------------------|---------|---|---|-------------|--|
| Management Strategy | 3 | Operational implementation of strategy | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 5 | 5: Highly relevant, a key rating driver that has a significant impact on the rating on an individual basis. Equivalent to "higher" relative importance within Navigator. |
| Governance Structure | 3 | Board independence and effectiveness; ownership concentration; protection of creditor/stakeholder rights; legal /compliance risks; business continuity; key person risk; related party transactions | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance); Earnings & Profitability; Capitalisation & Leverage | 4 | 4: Relevant to rating, not a key rating driver but has an impact on the rating in combination with other factors. Equivalent to "moderate" relative importance within Navigator. |
| Group Structure | 3 | Organizational structure: appropriateness relative to business model; opacity; intra-group dynamics; ownership | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 3 | 3: Minimally relevant to rating, either very low impact or actively managed in a way that results in no impact on the entity rating. Equivalent to "lower" relative importance within Navigator. |
| Financial Transparency | 3 | Quality and frequency of financial reporting and auditing processes | Business Profile (incl. Management & governance) | 2 | 2: Irrelevant to the entity rating but relevant to the sector. |
| | | | | 1 | 1: Irrelevant to the entity rating and irrelevant to the sector. |

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores are not inputs in the rating process; they are an observation of the materiality and relevance of ESG factors in the rating decision. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit <http://www.fitchratings.com/esg>.

SOLICITATION & PARTICIPATION STATUS

For information on the solicitation status of the ratings included within this report, please refer to the solicitation status shown in the relevant entity's summary page of the Fitch Ratings website.

For information on the participation status in the rating process of an issuer listed in this report, please refer to the most recent rating action commentary for the relevant issuer, available on the Fitch Ratings website.

DISCLAIMER & DISCLOSURES

All Fitch Ratings (Fitch) credit ratings are subject to certain limitations and disclaimers. Please read these limitations and disclaimers by following this link: <https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings>. In addition, the following <https://www.fitchratings.com/rating-definitions-document> details Fitch's rating definitions for each rating scale and rating categories, including definitions relating to default. Published ratings, criteria, and methodologies are available from this site at all times. Fitch's code of conduct, confidentiality, conflicts of interest, affiliate firewall, compliance, and other relevant policies and procedures are also available from the Code of Conduct section of this site. Directors and shareholders' relevant interests are available at <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>. Fitch may have provided another permissible or ancillary service to the rated entity or its related third parties. Details of permissible or ancillary service(s) for which the lead analyst is based in an ESMA- or FCA-registered Fitch Ratings company (or branch of such a company) can be found on the entity summary page for this issuer on the Fitch Ratings website.

In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed. Fitch Ratings makes routine, commonly-accepted adjustments to reported financial data in accordance with the relevant criteria and/or industry standards to provide financial metric consistency for entities in the same sector or asset class.

The information in this report is provided "as is" without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001.

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

Copyright © 2024 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved.